

LGAP Standards Amendments

This document summaries the amendments made to the LGAP Standards following the public comment period and AniMark Standards Committee meetings held in August 2020. These changes give rise to version 1.2 of the LGAP Standards which can be accessed via the AniMark website.

Changes made to LGAP100 – Fundamentals and vocabulary

Clause	Change
3.2,3.3,3.4,3.7	Reworded for clarity – replacing “should be” with “are” or “is”
3.5	Reworded for clarity – replacing “should be” with “are” or “is” Also adding specific risk “to reduce livestock morbidity, mortality and other risks”
3.6	Reworded for clarity – replacing “should be” with “are” or “is” Also adding detail to movements of animals “in and out of a site, as well as within a site”
3.8	Reworded for clarity – replacing “should have” with “has” and adding “verifies conformity with the LGAP Certification Requirements” after ‘consideration of risks’
3.9	Reworded for clarity – replacing “should” with “can”
4	Added definitions of “shall”, “should”, “may” and “can” for clarity to the beginning of the clause.
References	All references were updated for currency

Changes made to LGAP1001 – Requirements for animal welfare and management

Clause	Amendment
4 Principle	Reworded for clarity to be “There are adequate numbers of people available who are competent for the tasks that need to be performed. Competency means personnel need to have the necessary knowledge and skills for the task that they perform”
5 Principle	Reworded for clarity to be “Infrastructure and equipment is are designed, constructed and maintained to ensure that it is they are appropriate for the livestock being handled, or they fulfill the purpose the equipment fulfills task required and do not cause harm”
6 Principle	Reworded for clarity to be “There is a system in place to ensure all animals on-site are identified to enable whole of chain traceability throughout the supply chain ”
6.3	Note added for clarity
6.4	Replaced ‘may’ with ‘can’ in Note
6.12	Reworded adding “required to assist traceability” after ‘documented information’ and replacing ‘immediately’ to “without delay”. Inclusion of the reason for the requirement to give context, and therefore greater clarity, to the requirement. Expectation with respect to response time clarified.
7 Principle	Replaced ‘implemented’ with “in place”
7.2(a)	Replaced ‘look at each animal systematically to detect levels of abnormalities’ with “look at each animal to detect abnormalities” to improve clarity
7.8	Added the words “sampling from” before ‘Annex I’ for clarity
7.20	Removed the words ‘the presence of’ before ‘broken needles’ and added the words “the remain in the animal” after ‘broken needles’ for clarity of the requirement
8 Principle	Added the words “in and out of a site, as well as within a site” after ‘movements of animals’, deleted ‘whole of chain’ before ‘traceability’ and added the words “throughout the supply chain” to the end of the sentence.
8.1(a) & (d)	Consolidated (a) and (d) to align with likely operations, adding “including the LGAP Certification number” to the end of (a) and deleting (d)
8.2(b)	Removed the brackets from “and LGAP certification number”
8.5	Reworded start of clause to be “Upon the discovery of discrepancies in livestock numbers” removing the term ‘unaccountable losses’ added to give importance of the discovery of a discrepancy in numbers
8.5(d)	Added (d) “make effort to account for discrepancies” to add emphasis to importance
9 Principle	Replaced ‘considerate’ with “humane” as widely understood term considered preferable
9.16	Deleted “(a) within 30 seconds of slaughter” so requirement to focus on the intent of the clause, being to ensure death prior to dressing, rather than stipulating a time.
B5.3	Reworded for clarity to be: “ Anything that contains chemicals Chemicals that may be toxic to the animal or present a food safety risk is not be used on surfaces accessible to livestock. Such chemical substances shall be stored away from animals and feed”

Changes made to LGAP1002 – Requirements for management systems of Operators and Facilities

Clause	Amendment
1 Scope	Amended last line from 'The transport of livestock between Operators and Facilities' to be "between Importers and Facilities" to align with the correct application of the standards
4 Principle	Reworded for clarity to be: The Operator or Facility shall have has a management system suitable to the size and frequency of their operation that enables the consideration of risks, verifies conformity with the LGAP Certification Requirements and allows for continual improvement of performance to add clarity to the application of the principle
6.1	Simplified to remove reference to the LGAP Certification requirements and amended to make the requirement applicable in the current tense rather than past tense.
6.3	Simplified the requirement by deleting (b), (c), (e) and the Note to read: "The Operator shall apply a risk-based approach that: a) identifies risks associated with events, locations and causes of uncertainty that may prevent the demonstration of fulfilment of LGAP Standards; and b) applies risk actions and treatments, including the activities, processes, systems and resources allocated to avoid, reduce, mitigate or manage the risks. "
7.8 Note	Reworded for clarity to read "Where specified livestock are required to be sent to sites which are not included in the scope of LGAP (e.g. breeding livestock), appropriate documented information must be maintained includes that which demonstrate demonstrates the legitimacy of these arrangements and that the animals were confirmed as received at that site"
7.9 (b) Note	Replaced 'Disruptions may' with "Disruptions can" at start of note for clarity
11.1 (e) (i)	Edited to make (i) "occurrence of the nonconformity" a standalone requirement
11.1 (g)	Added (g) "record correction or corrective action in the AniMark Conformance System" to clarify corrective actions are recorded

Changes made to LGAP1003 – Requirements for Operators chain of custody

Clause	Amendment
4 Principle	Replaced 'The Operator shall' with "The Operator can" at the start of the Principle
4.1 Note 1	Updated to read: "The prescribed level of certification can be set by the Program Owner , a regulator or supply chain partner" for consistency
4.1 Note 2	Updated for clarity to read "Where specified livestock are required to be sent to sites which are not included in the scope of LGAP (e.g. breeding livestock), appropriate documented information must be maintained includes that which demonstrates the legitimacy of these arrangements and that the animals were confirmed as received at that site"